



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,549 10/10/2003		10/10/2003	Fabian Somers DI-5954 (BXTD 9004.6)		2624	
321	7590	03/28/2006		· EXAMINER		
SENNIGE		<del>-</del>	RUSSEL, JEFFREY E			
16TH FLO		AN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				1654		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/683,549	SOMERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey E. Russel	1654		

	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo- places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stall above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	ompliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,20-22,24-31,33-35 and 37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18 and 38-40</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attachment.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>20050329</u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/683,549

Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

1. The proposed amendments to claims 16, 34, and 37 raise new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because it is not clear what constitutes an alpha-keto derivative of the dipeptides and tripeptides specifically listed in these claims. It is not clear, e.g., if the sidechain of one of the amino acids is substituted or replaced with an alpha-keto group, if alpha-hydrogen atom is replaced with the alpha-keto group, if an alpha-keto amino acid is added to a terminus of the dipeptides or tripeptides, etc. The proposed claim language is also not clear because in their discussion of this issue at page 7, second paragraph, of the response, Applicants mention fluorinated tyrosine and p-aminophenylalanine as examples of derivatives. However, these derivatives are not embraced by the proposed claim language, and it is not clear if Applicants intend to interpret the proposed claim language to embrace these types of derivatives.

- 2. The examiner maintains his position for the reasons of record, especially with respect to Cormier et al suggesting the combination of erythropoietin and Gly-His and with respect to Cormier et al not teaching the presence of serum albumin (see the paragraph bridging pages 10 and 11 of the final Office action), and with respect to the intended use limitation "for administration by parenteral injection" in claim 37 (see page 5 of the final Office action, last seven lines).
- 3. The Information Disclosure Statement dated March 29, 2005 originally was marked "Sheet 1 of 2" in its heading. However, only one sheet of listed references was scanned into the image file wrapper, and the only references which have been scanned into the image file wrapper are those listed on Sheet 1. Applicants are requested to check as to whether or not there is a second sheet of references, and if so, to submit the sheet so that the references listed on it can be considered by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,549 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Bruce Campell can be reached at (571) 272-0974. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (571) 273-8300; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

Jeffrey E. Russel

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1654

JRussel

March 20, 2006